

Summary of Written Submissions to Amendment C210

The below table provides a summary of the written submissions, together with an officer response to the submission and recommendations.

Submitter no.	Summary of submission	Response to submission
1	<p>The submitter raised concerns that the site is a poor location for a child care centre.</p> <p>The submitter considers that the existing building should be retained due to its age.</p>	<p>Thank you for making a submission. In response to your submission, we advise the following:</p> <p>We note your concerns with the proposed child care centre and that you have made an objection to the application. Your objection to the centre will be further considered as part of Council's consideration of Permit YR-2021/1066, and as such will not be addressed through this amendment.</p> <p>We note your support for retention of the existing building.</p> <p>Recommendation: No change is required to the amendment.</p>
2	<p>The submitter raised concerns that the site is a poor location for a child care centre.</p>	<p>Thank you for making a submission. In response to your submission, we advise the following:</p> <p>We note your concerns with the proposed child care centre and that you have made an objection to the application. Your objection to the centre will be further considered as part of Council's consideration of Permit YR-2021/1066, and as such will not be addressed through this amendment.</p> <p>Recommendation: No change is required to the amendment.</p>
3	<p>The amendment was referred to Melbourne Water as a relevant agency. It has no objection to Amendment C210 and does not want to be party to further proceedings.</p>	<p>Thank you for making a submission. In response to your submission, we advise that your position is noted.</p>
4	<p>The submitter has raised concerns that the Statement of Significance does not sufficiently recognise the key historic features of the main house and also considers that the garage/cottage annexe is original and should be included in the Statement of Significance.</p>	<p>Thank you for making a submission. In response to your submission, we advise the following:</p> <p>Your submission was referred to Council's heritage consultant for further consideration and assessment. The consultant's advice is that there is insufficient evidence to support the requested changes – refer to Attachment 3.</p> <p>Recommendation: No change is proposed to be made to the amendment. The submission will be referred to an independent Planning Panel for further consideration.</p>

<p>5</p>	<p>The submitter opposes the application of the Heritage Overlay to the site and states that it does not meet criteria to qualify for a HO on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The subject building has been substantially modified. • The identified trees do not meet criteria to qualify for a HO. • The site is not tourist-related. 	<p>Thank you for making a submission. In response to your submission, we advise the following:</p> <p>We note that no evidence or explanation has been provided to support the statements provided in the submission.</p> <p>Your submission was referred to Council's heritage consultant for further consideration.</p> <p>The consultants note that no evidence has been provided to substantiate why the land does not meet the threshold for heritage significance. This is further explained in Attachment 3.</p> <p>Recommendation: No change is proposed to be made to the amendment. The submission will be referred to an independent Planning Panel for further consideration.</p>
<p>6</p>	<p>The submitter has raised concerns that the Statement of Significance does not sufficiently recognise the key historic features of the main house.</p> <p>The submitter considers that extensions to the main building are sympathetically designed and deserve to be included in the Statement of Significance and that the garage/cottage annexe is original and should also be included in the Statement of Significance.</p>	<p>Thank you for making a submission. In response to your submission, we advise the following:</p> <p>Your submission was referred to Council's heritage consultant for further consideration and assessment. The consultant's advice is that there is insufficient evidence to support the requested changes – refer to Attachment 3.</p> <p>Recommendation: No change is proposed to be made to the amendment. The submission will be referred to an independent Planning Panel for further consideration.</p>